# Exhibit 8

```
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
                 EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
3
                      ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
4
    Coalition for TJ, :
5
6
              Plaintiff, :
7
       V.
                        : Case No.
8
    Fairfax County School : 1:21-cv-0026-CMH-JFA
9
    Board,
                         :
             Defendant. :
10
11
12
               Deposition of DR. SCOTT BRABRAND
13
14
                   Tyson's Corner, Virginia
15
                   Monday, October 11, 2021
                          9:03 a.m.
16
17
18
19
20
     Job No.: 405349
21
     Pages: 1 - 151
     Reported By: Adam Schuman
22
```

1	Deposition of DR. SCOTT BRABRAND, held at the
2	offices of:
3	
4	
5	Hunton Andrews Kurth, LLP
6	8405 Greensboro Drive
7	Suite 140
8	Tysons Corner, VA 22102
9	703.714.7400
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Pursuant to notice, before Adam Schuman, Notary
15	Public in and for the Commonwealth of Virginia.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

3

APPEARANCES 1 2 ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS: 3 ERIN E. WILCOX, ESQUIRE 4 CHRISTOPHER M. KIESER, ESQUIRE 5 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION 6 930 G Street 7 Sacramento, California 95814 8 916.419.7111 9 10 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT: SONA REWARI, ESQUIRE 11 12 HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP 13 2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW 14 Washington, DC 20037 202.955.1974 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

4

1			
2		C O N T E N T S	
3			
4	EXAMINATION	OF DR. SCOTT BRABRAND	PAGE
5	By Ms. V	Wilcox	6
6			
7			
8			
9		EXHIBITS	
10		(Attached to transcript.)	
11			
12	BRABRAND DEI	POSITION EXHIBITS	PAGE
13	Exhibit 1	Merit Lottery proposal	25
14	Exhibit 2	Email	4
15	Exhibit 3	Email	54
16	Exhibit 4	Email	60
17	Exhibit 5	Email	68
18	Exhibit 6	Email	76
19	Exhibit 7	Email	82
20	Exhibit 8	Work Session	98
21	Exhibit 9	Hybrid lottery proposal	100
22	Exhibit 10	Nov. Work Session	102

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1 2		E	X H I B I T S C O N T I	N U E D
3	Exhibit	11	ORSI document	107
4	Exhibit			109
5	Exhibit	13	Scoring rubric email	116
6	Exhibit	14	Email	121
7	Exhibit	15	Email	130
8	Exhibit	16	Email	141
9	Exhibit	17	Email	145
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				

1	racial composition of TJ's admitted classes over the	
2	past 10 years?	
3	A No, not off the top of my head, no.	
4	Q Fair. I am going to show you an exhibit	
5	here	
6	Ms. Wilcox: And let's go ahead and mark	
7	this. Do we want to do 1s or As?	
8	Reporter: Let's do 1. Last name and the	
9	number.	
10	Ms. Wilcox: Yes.	
11	(BRABRAND Deposition Exhibit 1 marked for	
12	identification and attached to the deposition.)	
13	A Just a second. This marking thing, this is	
14	for you guys keeping track?	
15	Q Yes, this is how we refer to it later.	
16	A So it's not a document I produced. You're	
17	showing me a document that has been produced?	
18	Q Yes, this has been produced and that copy is	
19	for you.	
20	Mr. Kiser: These are documents that have	
21	been produced by the Board on discovery.	
22	Q I'm going to ask you about that. That's why	

1 Uh-huh. "One perspective I do have is 2 whatever the Board decides to do or not to do in 3 September will ultimately influence what the 4 Governor and the Secretary of Education decide in 5 January." 6 And can you tell me what you meant by that 7 statement? 8 My perspective of the discussions 9 that the General Assembly were having reflected in that budget report submission and the fact that the 10 11 Secretary of Education did convene over the summer, 12 that same summer, a -- I don't know the convening --13 he convened a group of a committee of different 14 representatives. I did believe that whatever the 15 School Board was going to do in September and what 16 we would share in our report would have impact on 17 any additional action that the General Assembly or 18 Governor would have in either the budget or the 19 General Assembly policy recommendations. I mean, 20 what I just explained is what I was trying to 21 actually say in there. It was a perspective. 22 wasn't sure. I didn't have actual knowledge, but

1	I part of my job is to try to understand
2	different dynamics that are going on in our
3	community or the local-level dynamics and
4	State-level dynamics, and I definitely perceived
5	that there was State-level dynamics, one, reflected
6	by the October 1 report, and, two, by the Secretary
7	of Education's task force that simple status quo, a
8	report with just, we're just doing the same thing
9	we've always done was not going to be received well.
10	Q Okay. So when you say whatever the Board
11	decides to do or not do in December
12	A September.
13	Q September. I'm sorry.
14	A We decided in December the Board decided
15	in December what they would finally do, so this is a
16	month before the September work session. This is
17	about the Board member asking, well, is a proposal
18	coming, and what's going to I don't know if her
19	question is even on here, but is something coming to
20	the Board. Oh, here it is: Since the Board has
21	asked for this work session, this is best answered
	asissa 191 silla merit 20001011, silla 10 2000 aliameneroa

the authority to the side school operations and not have it dictated or decided at a high level if it can be done at the Board level.

Q Makes sense. Okay. And you actually referenced the group that was convened in the summer, which is what I wanted to ask you about that next, so thanks for that segue. Did you participate in the Virginia Department of Education's Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Working Group during the summer of 2020?

A I was in many of the meetings. I'm not going to say I was in all of them, but I was in many of them. I know I was in many of them. I don't know if I was in all of them.

Q What did you do as part of that group?

A Mostly listened. There were panelists.

There was some discussion among group members, but I mostly listened. There were panelists. There were also presentations. There were panelists, and then there was some group discussion that was facilitated by the Secretary of Ed, but I was invited, and I hoped that would help me better understand the

1	context for the October 1 report submission.
2	Q Who invited you to participate in that
3	group?
4	A The Secretary of Education.
5	Q And who else from Fairfax County Public
6	Schools participated?
7	A I believe, to the best of my recollection,
8	the Chief Operating Officer Marty Smith. I think
9	all the Governor schools admissions directors were
10	invited, not just Fairfax, but all of them, so that
11	would be inclusive of ours. One of our Board
12	members was invited. One of our TJ students was
13	invited. Give me one minute.
14	Q Yeah.
15	A I think that was it from Fairfax County. It
16	was a pretty big group.
17	Q And during the working group, did you
18	discuss the racial composition of TJ with anyone?
19	Ms. Rewari: Objection. Vague.
20	A I think I understand your question, and my
21	answer would be no.
22	Q Okay. And during the working group, did you

1	Q So starting here, what is this document, Dr.
2	Brabrand?
3	A This is a white paper that the Office of
4	Admissions had worked on, I believe, during Dr.
5	Duran's tenure when he was the Chief Equity Officer.
6	Q Okay. Are you familiar with this document?
7	A I've seen this document before. I know
8	what's on the email trail. I have seen this
9	document before.
10	Q And do you know when this document was sent
11	around, when it's dated?
12	A I think it was dated in June. Yeah, it was
13	dated, and Marty references it was shared in May.
14	It was shared in May in a closed session.
15	Q Okay. So this white paper would have
16	predated the presentation on TJ admissions changes
17	that you shared with the Board in September; is that
18	right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q All right. Can you tell me about why this
21	white paper was created?
22	A Duran was leaving to become Superintendent

71

1 in Arlington, and as I recall, there was interest to 2 know what work, if any, had the equity office done 3 around TJ over the years; and the Board wanted to 4 understand Duran's best thinking, best understanding 5 of TJ admissions and thinking around that, and so 6 this was a chance to get that information to them 7 prior to his departure. I believe. I could be 8 wrong. I think this is right before he leaves, just 9 to understand before he left, and he'd been with the 10 division for some time prior to even my arrival, so 11 they had their best understanding of all things TJ 12 admissions. 13 Q Okay. 14 So this represented prior work that Duran 15 had worked on with the admissions office. 16 Did the work that was done after this, so 17 beginning, I guess, with the September presentation 18 or the work that led up to that, did that work build 19 on this white paper that Duran had created? 20

had worked on during Duran's time. What I brought

This is work that the TJ admissions office

Ms. Rewari:

21

22

Α

Objection. Vague and compound.

106

1 kids are overrepresented? 2 I don't think that it does. I think making 3 sure the barriers are eliminated that brings some 4 kids to the table doesn't mean that kids at the 5 table have to be removed. 6 Okay. Did your staff model the demographic 7 effects of the hybrid merit lottery plan? 8 I don't know. You're probably asking 9 because -- my gut is the answer is no. Well, hold 10 I don't think so. I think what I recall is the 11 hybrid lottery took 100 kids off the top and then 12 put the rest in the lottery, so I don't think -- I 13 don't think we redid the methodology for that. We 14 thought it would be similar to what the merit 15 lottery was with the exception of a hundred kids, so 16 that's why I don't think there's anything in here on 17 For holistic, we really had no way to know what 18 the modeling would be, so we didn't present any 19 modeling for that. 20 Why would you have had no way to know what 21 the modeling would be for the holistic? 22 Because we had never done the process the Α

1	way we were proposing it that way before. That's my		
2	best answer.		
3	Q Okay. Let's put that document aside.		
4	A Okay.		
5	Ms. Wilcox: I think this is the last		
6	gigantic document we have, and this I believe will		
7	be Brabrand 11.		
8	(BRABRAND Deposition Exhibit 11 marked		
9	for identification and attached to the		
10	deposition.)		
11	A Okay.		
12	Q Dr. Brabrand, you have got Exhibit Brabrand		
13	11. Do you recognize this document?		
14	A It's a document that our ORSI team, our		
15	Office of Research, created around its own		
16	background and analysis of some of the models that		
17	we shared.		
18	Q Have you seen this document before today?		
19	A I'm not sure. I'm not sure.		
20	Q Do you know when it was presented to the		
21	School Board?		
22	A Well, that's why I'm wondering. I don't		

1	discuss and ask questions. Board meetings often are
2	a variety of traditional just agenda topics,
3	resolutions, consent agenda. There's more stuff at
4	a regular Board meeting than at a work session, as a
5	rule.
6	Q Does your role in the meeting differ
7	depending on whether it's a work session or a
8	regular business meeting?
9	A No, I wouldn't say that's the case.
10	Q In your experience, is it common for the
11	Board to take votes at work sessions?
12	A I believe it's been done before. I don't
13	know I've never studied that enough to know. The
14	Board has I believe the Board has taken votes at
15	both work sessions and at the regular meetings, and
16	they certainly provided direction to the
17	superintendent at both. I think they've done it at
18	both.
19	Q They can tell you what to do in any kind of
20	meeting?
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	meeting?  A Yeah, they really could.

Q No, there's not. Did you or your staff ever consider the racial impact of the decision to guarantee that 1.5 percent of schools feeds to TJ?

Ms. Rewari: Objection. Calls for speculation.

A No.

Q I want to ask you briefly about -- you mentioned this earlier, but an AAP Level 4 Center.

Can you tell me kind of in a nutshell what that is?

A Well, we don't have those at high schools.

Q Okay.

don't believe so.

A So AAPs are Advanced Academic Programs, and, again, I'm going to do my best as saying as a superintendent, we have had centers for advanced academics for kids who are eligible to receive gifted services would go to those centers. Local Level 4 again has been part of geographic -- and there was only centers at some of the elementary schools; so to go to the center you had to jump on a bus and go somewhere else. Local Level 4 has been putting those advanced academic services into the

148

1 In the fall, and I don't know when this 2 document is. I think on the 17th we brought the 3 holistic admissions, and I think what I also recall 4 is the Board added an amendment or maybe it was -- I 5 don't recall about the 1.5 percent as a change to 6 the holistic. 7 Okay. And just thinking back to that time 8 in December, in your view did the Board have 9 adequate time to consider the admissions plan that 10 they ultimately adopted? 11 A Yes, I believe they had adequate time. 12 I've said, or as we've even talked about today, we 13 had September through December. There was extensive discussion, work sessions, community discussion, 14 15 community feedback all in the middle of a pandemic, and I think we did as well as we could to have the 16 17 engagement and discussion before taking the final 18 vote. Ms. Wilcox: Okay. That's the end of my 19 20 I may have a couple cleanups, but did questions. 21 you want to --22 Ms. Rewari: I don't have any questions.